

**WHITTIER CITY COUNCIL
WILL HOLD
A SPECIAL MEETING
TUESDAY APRIL 26, 2016
AT 6:00 PM**

**COUNCIL CHAMBERS
P-12 BUILDING**

AGENDA

- | | |
|---|---------------|
| | Page # |
| 1. CALL TO ORDER | |
| 2. OPENING CEREMONY | |
| 3. ROLL CALL | |
| A. Council Members Present: | |
| B. Administration Present: | |
| 4. APPROVAL OF SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA | |
| 5. CITIZEN COMMENTS ON AGENDA ITEMS NOT SCHEDULED FOR PUBLIC HEARING | |
| 6. SPECIAL MEETING BUSINESS ITEMS | |
| A. Approval of Ocean Dock emergency repair – motion & voice vote. | 1 |
| B. Appointment to Port & Harbor Commission – Mark Mitchell. | 2 |
| C. #15-2016 – A resolution of the Whittier City Council objecting to changes in the
Oil Spill response plan. | 3 |
| D. Executive Session – City Manager - Matters that would tend to injure the reputation of a person. | |
| 8. COUNCIL DISCUSSION | |
| 9. CITIZEN DISCUSSION | |
| 10. ADJOURNMENT | |



**City of Whittier, Alaska
Dock Repair**

4/20/16

Attention: Mark Lynch

We are pleased to provide the following proposal for your consideration.

To include:

- Provide all for the repair and new work as described in the attached engineering report from Roy Blain.
- All shop coated materials to be flame-sprayed zinc.
- All field applied coatings to be Zinc-Stick.
- All work to be completed by May 10th, 2016 assuming an NTP dated 4/21/16.
- Certified payroll and Davis Bacon wages.

To exclude:

- Hazardous material abatement.
- Special inspections or material testing costs
- Permit fees
- P&P Bonding

Notes:

- Owner to provide unlimited utilities.

Total Cost: \$179,500.00

Thank you for the opportunity to quote your project and we look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

Dylan Bales



This proposal may be withdrawn by S R Bales Construction, Inc. if not accepted within 20 days.

1200 East 76th Ave, Suite #1223 Anchorage, Alaska 99518
Phone (907)348-0820 Fax (907) 348-0822

RECEIVED

APR 13 2016

Port & Harbor
WHITTIER PLANNING COMMISSION
DECLARATION OF INTEREST

Name: MARK MITCHELL Date: 4-12-14

Address: 11830 Circle DR

City: ANCH. State: AK Zip: 99507

Cell Phone: 250-3077 Work Phone: 344-3473 Fax: 344-3411

Seat you are interested in filling: E

Have you resided in the City of Whittier for at least six months? NO

Are you a qualified voter in the City of Whittier? YES

Summarize your planning and zoning experiences: 25 YEARS
OF CONSTRUCTION, FACILITY MANAGEMENT,
PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS
OWNER.

Explain why you are interested in serving on the Planning and Zoning Commission:

AS A BOAT OWNER IN THE HARBOR
I HAVE A GENUINE INTEREST
IN THE GROWTH AND EXPANSION
OF THE WHITTIER HARBOR.
I AM WILLING TO DEDICATE ANY
TIME AND ENERGY TO SERVE AS
A MEMBER

[Signature] 4-13-14
Signature

**CITY OF WHITTIER, ALASKA
RESOLUTION #16-2016**

A RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WHITTIER, STRONGLY OBJECTING TO PROPOSED CHANGES TO ANNEX B, PROPOSED PROCESS FOR COMMUNITY OUTREACH IN THE ALASKA FEDERAL/STATE PREPAREDNESS PLAN FOR RESPONSE TO OIL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE DISCHARGES/RELEASES (“UNIFIED PLAN”) FOR THE REGIONAL STAKEHOLDER COMMITTEE.

WHEREAS, under the proposed changes, Regional Citizens’ Advisory Councils and other local experts with specific oil spill response expertise would no longer automatically be able to play an active role alongside local governments in representing stakeholders in their areas affected by a spill; and

WHEREAS, under the proposed changes, the Regional Stakeholder Committee would be eliminated and replaced with a Tribal and Local Government Group (TLG) and Affected Stakeholder (AS) Group, and direct access to the Unified Command would no longer be guaranteed; and

WHEREAS, under the proposed changes, only the Responsible Party’s Liaison Officer is directed to take the leadership role with these two groups, which does not guarantee that community issues will be accurately communicated back to government officials within Unified Command; and

WHEREAS, under the proposed changes, a separate Affected Stakeholders Group would be formed to partition and segregate local governments and tribes from all other affected stakeholders, reducing communication and collaboration between those groups; and

WHEREAS, under the proposed changes, cooperative and collaborative decision making opportunities that currently occur when stakeholders work as one joint group under a Regional Stakeholder Committee to improve the spill response effort would be greatly diminished or disrupted; and

WHEREAS, stakeholders deserve the opportunity to work collaboratively and cooperatively with all affected stakeholders to ensure the spilled materials are efficiently and effectively removed; and

WHEREAS, under the proposed changes, only limited portions of the Incident Action Plan (IAP) may be provided to the Tribal and Local Government Group that the Liaison Officer deems to be “pertinent” for the tribes and local government officials; and

WHEREAS, under the proposed changes, there is no mention of any portions of the Incident Action Plan being provided to the Affected Stakeholders Group, and

WHEREAS, the Incident Action Plan is the plan the Responsible Party develops to clean up the spill and provides detail critical to providing meaningful input to the response (some of the critical information contained in the IAP includes a status of the incident, response objectives, work assignments, sensitive areas identified for protection, etc.); and

WHEREAS, all potentially affected stakeholders deserve to know what is planned when a spill occurs in our area; and

WHEREAS, the amendment proposes that a Liaison Officer (LOFR) would keep the both groups informed, and will as clearly and accurately as possible convey local input and concerns from both groups to the Unified Command; and

WHEREAS, the amendment states that a representative from the Responsible Party (the spiller) will serve as lead LOFR; and

WHEREAS, removing direct access to the Unified Command and filtering input through a representative of the party responsible for the spill does not improve the current process that allows for direct access to the decision makers during a response; and

WHEREAS, Communication of complex technical, operational, and scientific advice would not be effective using this proposed communication system; and

WHEREAS, there is a clear risk that the lead LOFR may leave out important information when reporting to the affected stakeholders to reduce liability and cost of mitigation of the spiller; and

WHEREAS, eliminating the ability for experts within the proposed Affected Stakeholders Group, such as Regional Citizens' Advisory Councils, from providing expertise on incident priorities, objectives and the Incident Action Plan, or other technical or scientific matters is not an improvement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE WHITTIER CITY COUNCIL, that:

Based on the reasons stated above, the City of Whittier, Alaska strongly objects to the proposed amendments to Annex B of the Unified Plan. The City respectfully requests that the Regional Stakeholders Committee, as currently described in the Unified Plan that has been in place for over a decade, remain in place.

PASSED AND APPROVED by a duly constituted quorum of the Whittier City Council on this 26th day of April, 2016.

Introduction date: 26 April 2016

ATTEST:

Jennifer Rogers
Acting City Clerk

Daniel Blair
Mayor

Ayes:
Nays:
Absent:
Abstain: